Canada's housing supply shortages: moving to a new framework

To request an alternate format, please contact us at: 1-800-668-2642 700 Montreal Road, contactcentre@cmhc.ca Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0P7







Table of Contents

Click on links below for direct access.

- 3 Canada's housing supply shortages: moving to a new framework
- 3 Explore Canada's housing affordability challenge and the need for more homes by 2035
- 5 Changes in our approach
- 5 Preview of results
- 8 House prices and rents are reported differently
- 8 What is affordability?

- 8 Changing our aspiration for affordability
- 10 More detailed modelling
- 10 More sophisticated modelling
- 15 How much housing is required?
- 17 What will be the impact of increasing housing supply?
- 21 Demographic-only and economic approaches to understanding affordability and housing supply
- 28 Conclusions and next steps



Mathieu Laberge Chief Economist & SVP, Housing Insights

speakers@cmhc-schl.gc.ca



Aled ab lorwerth Deputy Chief Economist



Tania Bourassa-Ochoa Deputy Chief Economist



Kevin Hughes Deputy Chief Economist

Canada's housing supply shortages: moving to a new framework

CMHC's updated Supply Gaps Estimate provides a fresh analysis of Canada's housing supply gap using an enhanced model. It explores a range of scenarios up to 2035 and provides detailed information on where more housing supply is needed across Canada.

Explore Canada's housing affordability challenge and the need for more homes by 2035

CMHC has been assessing how much housing is needed to restore affordability. We now estimate that housing starts must nearly double to around 430,000 to 480,000 units per year until 2035 to meet projected demand. This will require action by everyone to change how we build homes.

CMHC has emphasized that increasing housing supply is the key to restoring affordability. We now provide new results where we quantify how much housing supply is required to restore affordability over the next decade to levels last seen prior to the pandemic.

Our previous analyses highlighted the scale of the challenge. We continue to refine our work and make it more relevant for decision making.



BREAKING DOWN CANADA'S HOUSING AFFORDABILITY CHALLENGES

Canada faces housing affordability challenges

Canada faces a housing affordability challenge. For many years, housing prices and rents in Vancouver and Toronto attracted attention from all over the world. Over time, these increases came to burden many Canadians and their children. Low-income and some middle-class households struggle to even find a place to live, let alone at a price they can afford.

On a wider scale, the productivity of the Canadian economy suffers from unaffordable housing as the capacity to attract skilled workers is diminished, and the young are deterred from staying in our largest cities partly because of the lack of attainable housing. And Canada's enormous level of household debt creates a vulnerability in the event of a global economic crisis.

Challenges have become more widespread across Canada since the pandemic.

People grew tired of housing costs and long commutes, and eventually moved to other cities. For many, the ability to work remotely helped this move. This drove up house prices across Canada. Increases in demand cause higher house prices because the housing supply system takes years to adjust.

Increasing housing supply for all income levels is key to improving affordability. Since 2022, CMHC has published its estimates on how much housing would be needed to restore affordability to early 2000s levels by 2030. Our analysis helped to inform and guide policies to address the scale of the challenge.

Our past analysis showed the magnitude of the challenge to restore affordability. Publishing these results encouraged policy changes to promote housing supply. But while our previous approach to estimating how much housing is needed succeeded in encouraging change, we now need to make further changes.

Changes in our approach

First, the time it takes to get approval for and build new housing means that our 2030 timeline is no longer realistic. While building a new structure may take 1 or 2 years, getting the approvals to rezone land is a multi-year process.

To reflect these timelines, we'll begin presenting our results on a rolling 10-year horizon. As a result, for this report, we estimate how much housing is needed by 2035. We also present our results to highlight the change in the number of housing starts required per year rather than a cumulative total. This will ease comparison with current and potential rates of housing construction.

Second, restoring affordability to levels last seen 2 decades ago isn't realistic, especially after the post-pandemic price surge. COVID-19 significantly changed the affordability landscape across the country. In particular, Toronto and Vancouver face more structural affordability challenges that require more time to address.

As a result, we're changing our aspiration to restoring affordability to levels seen just before the pandemic. This change also highlights how widespread the housing affordability challenge has become across Canada. This aspiration shouldn't be interpreted as an official government target. Instead, it's a way to show how big of a challenge it is to return to affordability.

Further improvements to our approach include incorporating more feedback effects into our analysis by generating informative scenarios.

To illustrate what this means, suppose that housing supply were to increase in only 1 city so that house prices would fall. This isn't the end of the story. Some people would move to that city from the rest of Canada to benefit from greater affordability. Effectively, demand for housing in this city would be greater than originally expected because of this influx. As a result, even more housing needs to be built to return to affordability.

Preview of results

We find that housing starts need to double over the next decade. Compared to a projected rate of about 250,000 new housing units annually until 2035, Canada needs to increase housing starts to around 430,000 to 480,000 units per year to restore affordability (depending on parameters).

This can only be possible with:

- a significantly greater workforce
- more private-sector investment
- changes in technology and productivity such as more automation and modular construction

The need to increase housing supply remains critical.



What is Canada's housing affordability challenge?

Housing affordability has come to affect almost everyone in Canada. **Table 1** digs into this by showing average annual changes in house prices and rents over the past 2 decades. These are key components of any measure of affordability.

The data are split into 2 categories: pre- and post-pandemic.

The table shows increases in house prices reaching Ottawa-Gatineau, Montréal and Nova Scotia as well as other parts of Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia. Meanwhile, average rents have increased across the country and to largely similar degrees in each region. In this report, we concentrate on average affordability within regions of Canada. We don't address how affordability varies within regions, although many households with low incomes struggle with housing costs even in regions that remain affordable on average.

	House prices – average annual growth		Rents – average annual growth		
	Between 2004 and 2019 (%)	Between 2019 and 2024 (%)	Between 2004 and 2019 (%)	Between 2019 and 2024 (%)	
Toronto	7.6	6.7	2.7	4.8	
Ottawa-Gatineau	4.1	9.5	2.5	6.0	
Rest of Ontario	6.0	10.4	2.8	6.5	
Montréal	4.7	10.2	2.5	6.8	
Rest of Quebec	4.6	11.4	2.3	7.2	
Vancouver	8.0	6.4	3.9	5.4	
Rest of British Columbia	7.0	8.2	4.0	6.8	
Calgary	4.3	7.3	3.4	7.9	
Edmonton	4.3	2.6	3.8	4.0	
Rest of Alberta	4.1	3.6	2.9	5.0	
Manitoba	6.1	4.3	4.2	4.4	
Saskatchewan	5.7	2.9	4.4	5.2	
Nova Scotia	3.4	14.4	3.0	7.8	
Newfoundland and Labrador	4.6	5.6	3.0	4.5	
New Brunswick	3.0	14.3	2.7	8.6	
Prince Edward Island	4.7	10.1	2.9	5.0	

Table 1: Price and rent changes across regions in Canada from 2004 to 2019 and 2019 to 2024

Source: CMHC calculations.

Note: Average annual growth rate (AAGR). House prices: price changes based on a CMHC repeat sales price index (2024 house price data is for the first 3 quarters only). Rents: average rent of purpose-built private rental units (apartments and rows, all bedroom types). These are different price and rent measures than forecasted in the CMHC Housing Market Outlook (HMO) publication.

House prices and rents are reported differently

The data on rents and house prices are different concepts, which complicates interpretation.

House prices are recorded when a sale takes place. As a result, house prices reflect market transactions rather than values across the entire spectrum of housing.

In contrast, CMHC data on average rents cover rents of most purpose-built rental units and not just those available for new tenants at the current market price.

Therefore, changes in home prices and rents are not directly comparable. While CMHC has started to report on rents when units are turned over to new tenants, reflecting market transactions, these are insufficient for modelling.

What is affordability?

There's no settled definition of housing affordability but it relates housing costs to income. In housing market analysis, a common convention is to compare the monthly cost of purchasing an average home to the average or median income.

In our <u>previous reports</u>¹, we developed a comprehensive metric of homebuying costs that included criteria for qualifying for a mortgage. Unfortunately, house prices have risen so much in our most expensive cities that the average household wouldn't qualify to buy the average home under current mortgage rules. As a result, that metric has become obsolete.

We therefore adapted how we measure homebuying affordability. We now use a more generic price-to-income ratio (or "homebuying affordability ratio") with an adjustment factor to account for changes in mortgage rates and homeowner expenses. This allows us to better monitor homebuying affordability over time in all regions. Interpretation remains the same: the higher the ratio, the less affordable the market.

Other metrics could be used in our work, subject to data availability and whether the metrics could be integrated into our modelling framework.

Changing our aspiration for affordability

The loss of affordability over the past 2 decades has been large and is becoming larger. The supply required to return to that level of affordability would put an unrealistic strain on resources.

Training and expanding the construction workforce has been a challenge even to reach our current level of production. To improve productivity in the housing sector, Canada will need private sector capital. However, we also need to boost productivity across the whole economy. As a result, the available funds are limited.

While the results from our previous reports remain valid, we're changing our aspiration for affordability to match pre-pandemic levels, which has several impacts.

Table 2 highlights the substantial loss of affordability in Ontario, British Columbia, Quebec and Nova Scotia, as well as losses elsewhere, between 2019 and 2024. It also shows the levels of affordability we aim to return to in our modelling. Rather than being government targets, these illustrate what would be required to regain lost affordability.

In general, we aim to return to levels of affordability at which adjusted house prices (homebuying affordability ratios) are:

- 1. no higher than 30% of average gross household income; or
- 2. no higher than their 2019 levels, in the more unaffordable regions.

This means that, in British Columbia and in parts of Ontario and Montréal, we aim for affordability levels of 2019 (higher than 30%). Many parts of Canada – the Prairies and parts of the Atlantic region – were affordable in 2019, with affordability ratios less than 30%. They've now breached that level. Increased housing supply will move them back to the 30% threshold.

The loss of affordability shown in this table mostly explains our findings of where more housing supply is needed, discussed later. For example, the loss of affordability since 2019 in Montréal, Ottawa-Gatineau, the rest of Ontario and Nova Scotia leads to more housing being needed there.

¹ https://assets.cmhc-schl.gc.ca/sites/cmhc/professional/housing-markets-data-and-research/housing-research/research-reports/2023/housing-shortagescanada-updating-how-much-we-need-by-2030-en.pdf?rev=3b66f87d-0bec-44d6-aa54-f8af71f9b2c4&_gl=1*e8hmik*_gcl_au*Njk5MzY4ODIyLjE3MzY4 MDIxNDY.*_ga*MjY2ODkwNjUyLjE3MDQ0NzM3MDE.*_ga_CY7T7RT5C4*MTczOTM2ODY4Ni42OTguMS4xNzM5MzY4NjkwLjU2LjAuMA..

Table 2 provides our interpretation of what might be a realistic level of affordability. They're meant to be illustrative. In turn, projected ratios for 2035 in our scenario, compared to the aspiration, is central to understanding the size of the supply gap in each region.

	Ratio in 2019 (%)	Ratio in 2024 (%)	Targeted ratio in Q4 2035* (%)
Toronto	59	74	59
Ottawa-Gatineau	30	44	30
Rest of Ontario	33	50	33
Montréal	34	48	34
Rest of Quebec	24	34	30
Vancouver	71	99	71
Rest of British Columbia	47	64	47
Calgary	27	38	30
Edmonton	26	31	30
Rest of Alberta	25	31	30
Manitoba	27	34	30
Saskatchewan	26	29	30
Nova Scotia	26	49	30
Newfoundland and Labrador	23	31	30
New Brunswick	20	34	30
Prince Edward Island	24	34	30

Table 2: Homebuying affordability ratios (house price-to-income, adjusted for mortgage rates and homeowner expenses)

Source: CMHC calculations.

Note: Average house price-to-average gross household income ratio, with an adjustment factor to account for interest rates (5-year fixed discounted mortgage rate) and monthly homeowner expenses (estimations of property taxes, utilities, maintenance and insurance).

* The target for the model is that, by 2035, the adjusted housing price metric should be no higher than 30% of gross household income where this is still realistic, or no higher than its 2019 level in the most expensive regions.

What modelling changes are we making in this report?

More detailed modelling

In this report, we provide a breakdown of results for Canada's six 6 largest cities, in addition to the results for all provinces presented in the previous reports. We also provide more detailed results on the breakdown between rental and homeownership housing.

Greater geographical detail means we need to incorporate more "feedback" effects (discussed in the introduction), which leads to our discussion of more sophisticated modelling below. In general, modelling at a more local level means that we need to consider more factors such as the impact of changing housing affordability on population mobility. Changes in patterns of housing affordability are more likely to influence households to move between Toronto and the rest of Ontario than they are to influence them to move between Ontario and British Columbia, for example.

More sophisticated modelling

In line with previous reports, we continue to advance our understanding and our modelling of the Canadian housing system. These changes are explained in more detail in a technical report published separately. We have made many model enhancements that are described in the technical report rather than here.

Our new modelling includes the effects of house price changes on:

- population mobility; and
- household formation

Incorporating these effects has many impacts, including if house prices were to fall in a city because of increased supply, then:

- households would move there from other parts of Canada. This would lower demand in the places they left but creates further demand in the city where supply increased; and
- more households would be formed in that city as adult children leave the parental home, for example.
 Again, this further increases demand for housing, offsetting the original decrease in prices.

In both these cases, planners need to anticipate that even more housing needs to be built as prices fall.

- Parts of Canada that have been relatively affordable because they have increased their housing supply (such as Alberta) will need to anticipate building even more housing if households continue to leave Canada's expensive cities, if no action is taken to increase housing supply in those cities.
- Since households are now created because of changes in the housing system, the number of households relative to the size of the population changes by scenario.
 Lower house prices nationally will lead to more households relative to the size of the population in Canada.
 In particular, there will be more young households.
- The number of housing units to be built depends on local contexts that are constantly changing. More active monitoring of local population and economic conditions would be required.



Canada will see continued growth in demand for housing over the next decade

Over the long term, housing affordability is driven by demand and supply. Economy-wide demand for housing grows with population and the size of the economy. In this section, we explore a scenario of how Canada might look in 2035 if it were to continue its current trend of homebuilding. The Canadian population might reach nearly 45 million by 2035 compared to just over 41 million today (**Table 3**). This projection reflects data from Statistics Canada and includes policy changes to reduce immigration announced in 2024.² The economy will be more than a fifth larger in real terms. A larger economy relative to the size of the population improves affordability by our metric. This is because higher average incomes enable households to afford more housing if there's enough supply.

² While the composition of the population reflects the Statistics Canada M1 scenario, the level of population is developed by CMHC. CMHC uses population projections to generate projections of household numbers within our modelling.

	Population, 2024 (millions)	Projected population, 2035 (millions)	GDP, 2024 (\$B 2017)	Projected GDP, 2035 (\$B 2017)
Toronto	7.380	8.389	493.5	635.7
Ottawa-Gatineau	1.597	1.756	97.8	131.7
Rest of Ontario	7.585	8.013	379.4	436.6
Montréal	4.692	4.789	259.1	330.3
Rest of Quebec	4.059	4.240	193.0	211.8
Vancouver	3.122	3.401	195.4	252.1
Rest of British Columbia	2.574	2.675	143.9	159.4
Calgary	1.713	2.043	133.0	176.4
Edmonton	1.614	1.876	98.3	137.6
Rest of Alberta	1.448	1.569	125.9	134.3
Manitoba	1.491	1.648	76.6	94.3
Saskatchewan	1.260	1.439	84.2	99.3
Nova Scotia	1.060	1.065	49.3	53.0
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.534	0.535	31.8	31.7
New Brunswick	0.834	0.865	38.3	42.3
Prince Edward Island	0.187	0.222	8.3	10.0
Canada	41.150	44.527	2,407.9	2,936.7

Table 3: Projected population and gross domestic product (GDP), 2024 and 2035

Source: CMHC calculations. GDP in billions of chained (2017) dollars. Numbers may not add up because of rounding.

We project that the annual rate of housing starts will be around 250,000 units by 2035. Therefore, the housing stock will increase by about 3 million units to reach close to 20 million units (**Table 4**). There will be significant growth in the housing stock in Alberta, for example. These projections reflect long-term trends, excluding the impact of any recent policy announcements.

Table 4: Housing	stock in	2024	and	2035
------------------	----------	------	-----	------

	Estimated housing stock, Q3 2024 (millions)	Projected housing stock, Q4 2035 (millions)	Change in stock (%)	Projected average annual housing starts, 2025 to 2035
Toronto	2.538	3.034	19.5	44,054
Ottawa-Gatineau	0.677	0.793	17.1	10,313
Rest of Ontario	3.168	3.596	13.5	38,111
Montréal	2.008	2.270	13.0	23,287
Rest of Quebec	2.033	2.294	12.9	23,229
Vancouver	1.172	1.453	24.0	24,978
Rest of British Columbia	1.169	1.334	14.0	14,585
Calgary	0.651	0.872	34.0	19,651
Edmonton	0.634	0.816	28.7	16,188
Rest of Alberta	0.598	0.670	11.9	6,342
Manitoba	0.593	0.678	14.3	7,550
Saskatchewan	0.527	0.581	10.4	4,849
Nova Scotia	0.495	0.557	12.4	5,447
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.273	0.289	6.1	1,486
New Brunswick	0.380	0.421	10.8	3,654
Prince Edward Island	0.079	0.093	18.2	1,276
Canada	16.995	19.751	16.2	245,000

Source: CMHC calculations. Numbers may not add up because of rounding.

These projections for the economy, population and supply imply a path for house prices and average incomes that together determine affordability. **Table 5** shows that house prices will continue to increase and hurt affordability if there is no action to increase supply. Canadians moving from expensive regions will lead to proportionately greater price increases in regions such as Prince Edward Island and Saskatchewan, for example. Without additional supply, we would expect average rents to increase by about 40%, from around \$1,400 today to over \$1,900 by 2035.

	House prices, Q3 2024 (\$)	Projected house prices, Q4 2035 (\$)	Change in price (%)
Toronto	1,197,759	1,947,160	62.6
Ottawa-Gatineau	603,708	914,949	51.6
Rest of Ontario	660,565	994,314	50.5
Montréal	616,242	956,421	55.2
Rest of Quebec	400,893	558,567	39.3
Vancouver	1,506,054	1,907,076	26.6
Rest of British Columbia	846,741	1,104,192	30.4
Calgary	614,215	808,674	31.7
Edmonton	410,348	542,093	32.1
Rest of Alberta	381,901	564,935	47.9
Manitoba	375,970	527,804	40.4
Saskatchewan	328,571	515,320	56.8
Nova Scotia	510,913	579,703	13.5
Newfoundland and Labrador	323,328	403,182	24.7
New Brunswick	324,667	369,303	13.7
Prince Edward Island	369,378	577,397	56.3

Table 5: House prices across Canada, 2024 and 2035

Source: CMHC calculations.

Note: House prices (\$) represent the average price of a fixed basket of residential properties with changes in value based on a CMHC repeat sales price index. This is a different price measure than forecasted in the CMHC Housing Market Outlook (HMO) publication.



More housing supply required over the next decade

As the size of the economy and the population grow, demand for housing increases. Without a proportional increase in housing supply, affordability will suffer. In our modelling structure, we ask how much housing supply should be built to return to housing affordability over the next decade.

How much housing is required?

We estimate that housing starts averaging around 480,000 units annually over the next decade is required, compared to the 245,000 in our current projection (**Table 6**). The rate of increase required varies across the country. Notable increases required in areas such as Ontario outside of Toronto, as well as Montréal and Nova Scotia. This reflects the sharp loss of affordability since the pandemic.

In some areas of Canada, such as Edmonton, no additional supply of market housing is required, since these are projected to build sufficient housing to maintain affordability over the next decade. In many of these areas there remain housing challenges such as homelessness.

Table 6: Projected annual housing starts with additional supply

	Scenario with additional supply					
	Projected annual housing starts (business-as- usual scenario), 2025 to 2035	Projected annual housing starts, 2025 to 2035	Difference in projected annual housing starts from business-as-usual	Difference in projected annual housing starts from business-as-usual (%)		
Toronto	44,054	75,565	31,511	71.5		
Ottawa-Gatineau	10,313	26,338	16,025	155.4		
Rest of Ontario	38,111	124,795	86,684	227.5		
Montréal	23,287	72,175	48,888	209.9		
Rest of Quebec	23,229	23,229	0	0.0		
Vancouver	24,978	32,223	7,245	29.0		
Rest of British Columbia	14,585	29,471	14,886	102.1		
Calgary	19,651	28,520	8,869	45.1		
Edmonton	16,188	16,188	0	0.0		
Rest of Alberta	6,342	11,572	5,230	82.5		
Manitoba	7,550	11,189	3,639	48.2		
Saskatchewan	4,849	6,716	1,867	38.5		
Nova Scotia	5,447	12,539	7,092	130.2		
Newfoundland and Labrador	1,486	1,486	0	0.0		
New Brunswick	3,654	3,654	0	0.0		
Prince Edward Island	1,276	2,180	904	70.8		
Canada	245,000	477,840	232,840	95.0		

Source: CMHC calculations. Numbers may not add up because of rounding.

What will be the impact of increasing housing supply?

Household numbers will increase

As a result of lower prices, more households will be created. As younger adult Canadians are able to leave the family home, there will be a notable increase in the number of households among younger age cohorts (as well as some increase in other age brackets). Overall, reducing the cost of housing will increase the number of households by roughly 2% in 2035 compared to where it would be otherwise.

Population flows will increase

Changing patterns of housing supply and improved affordability across the country will create flows of households taking advantage of lower housing costs. A greater supply of housing outside of Toronto to restore lost affordability will lead to some households leaving Toronto for the rest of Ontario.

A similar pattern will take hold in British Columbia (**Table 7**). In Quebec, more households will move to Montréal because there will be more housing in Montréal to restore the affordability lost since 2019. There's less change in Alberta because there was less change in prices and rents from the pre-pandemic period.

Table 7: Projected population in 2035 in the scenario with additional supply: difference from the busin	ess-as-
usual scenario	

	Projected population in the business-as- usual scenario, 2035	Projected population in the scenario with additional supply, 2035	Difference in projected population between the scenarios, 2035
Toronto	8,389,278	8,156,879	-232,399
Ottawa-Gatineau	1,755,900	1,781,688	25,788
Rest of Ontario	8,012,950	8,303,741	290,791
Montréal	4,789,375	4,907,696	118,321
Rest of Quebec	4,240,247	4,110,687	-129,560
Vancouver	3,400,620	3,351,085	-49,536
Rest of British Columbia	2,675,223	2,724,778	49,555
Calgary	2,043,206	2,041,847	-1,360
Edmonton	1,876,285	1,827,168	-49,117
Rest of Alberta	1,569,367	1,589,155	19,788
Manitoba	1,648,108	1,633,208	-14,900
Saskatchewan	1,439,412	1,427,666	-11,745
Nova Scotia	1,065,014	1,074,504	9,490
Newfoundland and Labrador	535,156	527,301	-7,855
New Brunswick	865,018	846,640	-18,377
Prince Edward Island	222,202	222,150	-52

Source: CMHC calculations.

Price changes

Returning to 2019 affordability levels in the next decade would lead to house prices being roughly a quarter lower than where they would otherwise be in 2035. Average rents would be lower by about 5%.

Table 8 shows that increased supply would lead to price declines relative to today in some areas such as Nova Scotia. However, these areas experienced a sharp price increase since the pandemic. Other areas will see price growth because they're relatively affordable today. As a result, we haven't set tight affordability goals for them. Increases in supply will keep price growth in check in Toronto and Vancouver.

While there are concerns that increasing housing supply would cause house prices to fall rapidly and pose a risk to financial stability, this is unlikely. Housing supply cannot be increased rapidly enough in reality to trigger such an event.

In fact, the impact of increasing housing supply across the country will be much more nuanced in practice. New housing is expensive because of construction costs and better-quality finishing. Over time, through the process of filtering, more supply puts downward pressure on house prices.

Increasing housing supply is unlikely to cause financial instability because these forces take time to produce reactions. The slow pace of change in housing is why we've moved to a rolling 10-year horizon for our results.

Scenario with additional supply Projected house prices, House prices, Q3 2024 (\$) Q4 2035 (\$) Change in price (%) Toronto 1,197,759 1,434,389 19.8 Ottawa-Gatineau 603,708 596,689 -1.2 **Rest of Ontario** -2.8 660,565 642,102 Montréal 616,242 671,168 8.9 **Rest of Quebec** 400,893 517,233 29.0 Vancouver 1,506,054 1,630,392 8.3 **Rest of British Columbia** 846,741 893,040 5.5 Calgary 614,215 659,106 7.3 Edmonton 410,348 513,864 25.2 Rest of Alberta 381,901 494,276 29.4 Manitoba 375,970 467,577 24.4 Saskatchewan 477,020 45.2 328,571 Nova Scotia 510,913 -20.6 405,845 Newfoundland 387,807 19.9 323,328 and Labrador New Brunswick 324,667 344,549 6.1 Prince Edward Island 369,378 434,314 17.6

Table 8: Price changes between now and 2035 with additional supply

Source: CMHC calculations.

Note: House prices (\$) represent the average price of a fixed basket of residential properties with changes in value based on a CMHC repeat sales price index. This is a different price measure than forecasted in the CMHC Housing Market Outlook (HMO) publication.

Rental and ownership

Our model projects the future path of average rents separately from the path of house prices. Both of these respond to changes in supply and demand and to each other. Their paths can diverge because they react differently to interest rates, for example. Increasing housing supply to lower the price of homeownership will lead to some renters moving from rental to homeownership. **Table 9** shows the impact of increasing housing supply on average rents across Canada. We do not currently target a specific level of affordability for households in rental units.

Table 10 shows a breakdown of additional supply, given the changing patterns of rents and house prices and the rise in ownership rates.

Table 9: Projected rents in 2035 in the scenario with additional supply: difference (in %) from the business-asusual scenario

	Difference in rents between "additional-supply" and business-as-usual scenarios, 2035 (%)
Toronto	-6.1
Ottawa-Gatineau	-9.9
Rest of Ontario	-8.5
Montréal	-6.1
Rest of Quebec	-1.9
Vancouver	-2.7
Rest of British Columbia	-4.3
Calgary	-6.0
Edmonton	-1.4
Rest of Alberta	-4.1
Manitoba	-2.4
Saskatchewan	-2.9
Nova Scotia	-5.9
Newfoundland and Labrador	-0.9
New Brunswick	-1.5
Prince Edward Island	-5.2

Source: CMHC calculations. Average rent of purpose-built private rental units (apartments and rows, all bedroom types).

	Annual additional housing starts (beyond business-as-usual) required from 2025 to 2035, by tenure						
		Additional (۱	housing starts inits)	5	Share of total additional housing starts (%)		
	Total	Ownership	Rental, primary market	Rental, secondary market	Ownership	Rental, primary market	Rental, secondary market
Toronto	31,511	26,360	3,963	1,188	83.7	12.6	3.8
Ottawa- Gatineau	16,025	11,496	3,781	748	71.7	23.6	4.7
Rest of Ontario	86,684	68,690	16,493	1,501	79.2	19.0	1.7
Montréal	48,888	30,200	15,705	2,983	61.8	32.1	6.1
Rest of Quebec	0	0	0	0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Vancouver	7,245	5,635	1,138	473	77.8	15.7	6.5
Rest of British Columbia	14,886	11,093	3,153	640	74.5	21.2	4.3
Calgary	8,869	6,858	1,317	694	77.3	14.9	7.8
Edmonton	0	0	0	0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Rest of Alberta	5,230	4,082	956	193	78.0	18.3	3.7
Manitoba	3,639	2,582	954	103	70.9	26.2	2.8
Saskatchewan	1,867	1,522	285	60	81.5	15.3	3.2
Nova Scotia	7,092	5,257	1,745	90	74.1	24.6	1.3
Newfoundland and Labrador	0	0	0	0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
New Brunswick	0	0	0	0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Prince Edward Island	904	702	186	16	77.7	20.5	1.8
Canada	232,840	174,475	49,675	8,690	74.9	21.3	3.7

Table 10: Annual additional housing starts (beyond business-as-usual), by tenure

Source: CMHC calculations. Numbers may not add up because of rounding.



Exploring the results further

All models are, by necessity, simplifications of reality. There are many real-world features that are omitted because, for example, we don't have the appropriate data or an understanding of all potential interactions. In this section, we outline how results might change under different approaches, leaving aside "normal" uncertainties of projecting the future.

The complexity of our model is significantly greater than our previous effort. So, we also explore how sensitive the analysis is to different circumstances. Scenario analysis is important, particularly in a world where demand for housing can change rapidly but the supply system does not.

Demographic-only and economic approaches to understanding affordability and housing supply

The traditional approach adopted by planners is to:

- take demographic projections of population growth from agencies such as Statistics Canada
- transform them into projections of household numbers (as CMHC does)
- use these household projections to assess how much housing is needed

The housing required reflects the growth in the number of households.

Added to this number will be the additional housing required to address suppressed household formation; that is how many additional households there would've been if house prices hadn't been so high. This is done by using a past reference point of household numbers when housing was more affordable.

This approach has many advantages and is widely adopted and implemented across Canada. The data exist to support it, particularly at the local or municipal level. But it does have shortcomings, as it doesn't include:

- 1. explicit affordability targets; or
- 2. demand for more housing increasing from economic variables such as higher incomes and falling housing costs

These are addressed in our economic modelling. The economic approach incorporates the demographic approach by including detailed population and household projections. It then adds in the impact of economic variables such as incomes and interest rates. The supply requirements projected by an economic model will meet a defined affordability target. The downside to the economic approach is that it's significantly more complicated and difficult to apply at the local level.

Incorporating additional effects in economic modelling explains why estimates of housing supply requirements are higher with economic modelling than with demographiconly modelling.

To illustrate this effect, consider the effect of building more housing. In the demographic approach this will lead to more households being created as, for example, adult children leave the family home. But more supply will also lead to lower average prices, and the demographic approach is silent on the impact of this effect on existing homeowners and renters.

Economic and statistical analysis finds that lower house prices lead to additional actions, such as:

- existing homeowners or renters moving to betterquality homes
- · renters moving to homeownership
- · the purchase of second homes, and so on

As a result, even more housing needs to be built.

The magnitude of this effect is important. If it's too large in our modelling, then the responsiveness of existing homeowners and renters to lower prices would be lower in reality and less housing would need to be built. To examine this, we can artificially lower this responsiveness in our modelling.³

Reducing the responsiveness to its lowest plausible level reduces the number of additional housing starts required by 20% (**Table 11**). While this is a large difference in absolute terms, the underlying theme of the need for more housing supply remains intact.

³ Technically, we reduce the responsiveness to lower prices to the minimum value we can expect with 95% confidence.

Table 11: Impact of reducing price responsiveness

	(beyond business-as-usual) required from 2025 to 2035		
	"Additional-supply" scenario	Reducing how households respond to lower prices as supply is increased*	
Toronto	31,511	25,446	
Ottawa-Gatineau	16,025	13,287	
Rest of Ontario	86,684	71,139	
Montréal	48,888	37,663	
Rest of Quebec	0	0	
Vancouver	7,245	5,272	
Rest of British Columbia	14,886	10,496	
Calgary	8,869	6,799	
Edmonton	0	0	
Rest of Alberta	5,230	3,958	
Manitoba	3,639	2,800	
Saskatchewan	1,867	1,491	
Nova Scotia	7,092	6,303	
Newfoundland and Labrador	0	0	
New Brunswick	0	0	
Prince Edward Island	904	784	
Canada	232,840	185,437	

Projected annual additional housing starts (beyond business-as-usual) required from 2025 to 203

Source: CMHC calculations.

* Reducing the responsiveness to prices to the minimum value we can expect with 95% confidence.

We need to do further work in this area. Although we show results by different types of housing (rental versus homeownership), we need to incorporate more explicitly how the demand for particular types of housing increases as incomes rise or as housing costs fall. It's possible that reflecting the differences across housing types would reduce the number of homes that need to be built, since it would be easier to match what households would like to live in with housing that's available.

How might households respond to credible plans to increase housing supply, and might they move to other means of saving?

While it's possible to see housing as only a means of shelter, Canadians tend to increase expenditure on housing as their incomes rise. They may want better housing with more surface area or in better locations, or see it as a means of savings and a way to benefit from higher prices.

What might happen if housing supply were to increase meaningfully over time at the scale this report suggests? First, this would be a gradual process over many years. Builders and developers can't hire the workers and ramp up investment quickly. Approval processes take time.

Some critics of previous supply gap reports suggested that an overnight increase in housing supply of the magnitude suggested in the reports would lead to sharp price decreases for housing that would drive a spike in debt defaults. This doesn't mesh with the reality of the time it takes for construction to take place. Indeed, a critical argument for increasing housing supply and restoring affordability is to prevent rapid adjustment being forced on us. A global economic downturn that leads to widespread unemployment and mortgage defaults would be such an event. Canada's high level of household indebtedness creates this vulnerability.

As households see realistic policy commitments that increase housing supply, they will likely lower the amount of their income devoted to housing over time. This would also mean less housing that needs to be built.

We can explore these effects in our modelling. Now, higher incomes create more demand for housing, but we can lower the extent to which this happens to its lowest plausible level based on our statistical analysis.⁴ In this experiment, the amount of additional housing starts required is reduced by 14% (**Table 12**). If there were a change in Canadians' interest in the housing market, then less additional supply would be needed over the long term to restore affordability.

⁴ We lower the income elasticity of demand to the minimum level we can expect with 95% confidence.

Table 12: Impact of reducing responsiveness to income

	Projected annual additional housing starts (beyond business-as-usual) required from 2025 to 2035	
	"Additional-supply" scenario	Reducing how households respond to higher income*
Toronto	31,511	29,140
Ottawa-Gatineau	16,025	13,423
Rest of Ontario	86,684	76,904
Montréal	48,888	39,561
Rest of Quebec	0	0
Vancouver	7,245	4,158
Rest of British Columbia	14,886	11,487
Calgary	8,869	8,545
Edmonton	0	0
Rest of Alberta	5,230	5,136
Manitoba	3,639	2,909
Saskatchewan	1,867	948
Nova Scotia	7,092	6,894
Newfoundland and Labrador	0	0
New Brunswick	0	0
Prince Edward Island	904	882
Canada	232,840	199,988

Source: CMHC calculations.

 * Reducing the responsiveness to income to the minimum value we can expect with 95% confidence.



What is the impact of improving productivity in the construction industry?

The modelling infrastructure developed here can be adapted to look at a variety of scenarios. A broader range of economic and demographic scenarios will be developed over time to consider alternative outcomes for the housing system. To illustrate potential uses, we look at the impact of improving productivity in the construction industry.

We think of productivity in this case as the output per worker. More output per worker can come about through increasing skills, automation, mass manufacturing, the digitization of housing planning, stronger supply chains and so forth.

Using more technology would allow construction to occur faster or with fewer mistakes. Offsite mass manufacturing of large components of housing and their assembly on site could enable more homebuilding with the same number of workers. We introduce this idea into our modelling as reductions in the cost of labour per dwelling. There are real-world benefits that we can't capture, such as faster completion times. Unfortunately, in this type of modelling, we also can't capture why these changes may come about.

To illustrate the potential benefits of improving productivity in the construction industry, we look at the impacts of:

- 1. a 10% increase in productivity; and
- 2. a 31% increase in productivity to match the average level of productivity across all industries

These productivity improvements from the current workforce would add significantly to the housing stock and reduce house prices by 2% to 6% (**Table 13**).

In turn, increases in productivity that lead to lower prices in 2035 will have a slightly dampening effect on the incentive to build more housing, which is reflected in modelling results.

The need to increase productivity in construction is evident not only from the intense need to produce more housing but also from the relatively slow rate of growth in productivity in this industry.

Evidence from the U.S., which we're now refining for the Canadian context, suggests that the pace of productivity growth in construction has been low. The reasons for this are complex but U.S. evidence points to the relatively heavy local regulatory burden placed on the industry. Many firms are too small to make the investments in technology needed to increase productivity. The need to improve productivity in residential construction is also important given the broader implications of the need to increase supply. Increasing housing supply will mean that the residential construction industry must get bigger, taking more investment and workers, but this means taking resources from the rest of the economy.

This need poses a risk that could damage the long-term prospects of the Canadian economy if the productivity of the industry remains low.

Table 13: Results for Canada of improving productivity in construction

	Productivity shocks	
	10% shock	Matching the average level of productivity across all industries (31% shock)
Impact on housing stock in 2035 (%)	1.0	2.9
Average annual additional housing starts from 2025 to 2035 (units)	17,087	50,978
Impact on house prices in 2035 (%)	-2.0	-5.7

Source: CMHC calculations.

Conclusions and next steps

The findings in this report highlight the importance of increasing housing supply to address Canada's affordability challenges. This analysis and modeling mark an important step in improving our understanding of Canada's housing system and the challenges we face.

Further enhancements to the model will be made over time. The underlying model is described in a separate technical document that will be published separately. We commit to further consultations and improvements.

With the modelling infrastructure in place, we have the scope to look at a wider range of options to address Canada's housing challenges. We can also examine a wider range of long-term demographic and economic scenarios, for example.

Some of the further work will examine greater differences in the types of housing that are required.

Additional Resources

Get the latest findings directly in your inbox

Subscribe cmhc.ca/housingupdates Get more housing market publications and reports

Stay Informed cmhc.ca/housingmarketinformation

About CMHC

CMHC plays a critical role as a national convenor to promote stability and sustainability in Canada's housing finance system. Our mortgage insurance products support access to home ownership and the creation and maintenance of rental supply. Our research and data help inform housing policy. By facilitating cooperation between all levels of government, private and non-profit sectors, we contribute to advancing housing affordability, equity, and climate compatibility. And we actively support the Government of Canada in delivering on its commitment to make housing more affordable.

Follow us on LinkedIn, YouTube, Instagram, X, and Facebook.

©2025 Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation. All rights reserved. CMHC grants reasonable rights of use of this publication's content solely for personal, corporate or public policy research, and educational purposes. This permission consists of the right to use the content for general reference purposes in written analyses and in the reporting of results, conclusions, and forecasts including the citation of limited amounts of supporting data extracted from this publication. Reasonable and limited rights of use are also permitted in commercial publications subject to the above criteria, and CMHC's right to request that such use be discontinued for any reason.

Any use of the publication's content must include the source of the information, including statistical data, acknowledged as follows:

Source: CMHC (or "Adapted from CMHC," if appropriate), name of product, year and date of publication issue.

Other than as outlined above, the content of the publication cannot be reproduced or transmitted to any person or, if acquired by an organization, to users outside the organization. Placing the publication, in whole or part, on a website accessible to the public or on any website accessible to persons not directly employed by the organization is not permitted. To use the content of this CMHC publication for any purpose other than the general reference purposes set out above or to request permission to reproduce large portions of, or the entire content of, this CMHC publication, please send a Copyright request to the Housing Knowledge Centre at Housing_Knowledge_Centre@cmhc.ca</u>. Please provide the following information: Publication's name, year and date of issue.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, no portion of the content may be translated from English or French into any other language without the prior written permission of Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation.

The information, analyses and opinions contained in this publication are based on various sources believed to be reliable, but their accuracy cannot be guaranteed. The information, analyses and opinions shall not be taken as representations for which Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation or any of its employees shall incur responsibility.



Canada



 \mathbb{X}

in

(O)